

Agenda Item 7.A. – Consider and Approve a Resolution (26-10-22-A) Authorizing Continued Investment in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) and Approving Updated Signatories on the Account

Background

The Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) holds the SFCJPA's reserves and unspent project funds. The approved budget assumes the use of the maximum available reserves to fund operations through June 30, 2027. To do so, the SFCJPA must maintain the ability to access and transfer funds as needed to meet its financial obligations.

To ensure continued access to these funds, the authorized signatories on the LAIF account must be updated.

Recommendation –

Approve the resolution authorizing continued investment of monies in the SFCJPA LAIF and approving updated signatories on the account.

RESOLUTION 26-10-22-A
of the
San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
(SFCJPA) AUTHORIZING CONTINUED
INVESTMENT OF MONIES IN THE LOCAL AGENCY
INVESTMENT FUND AND APPROVING UPDATED
SIGNATORIES ON THE ACCOUNT

AGENCY ADDRESS
750 Menlo Ave. Suite 250
Menlo Park, CA 94025

AGENCY PHONE NUMBER
650-643-1452

AUTHORIZING CONTINUED INVESTMENT OF MONIES IN THE LOCAL AGENCY INVESTMENT FUND

WHEREAS, The Local Agency Investment Fund is established in the State Treasury under Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the deposit of money of a local agency for purposes of investment by the State Treasurer; and

WHEREAS, the SFCJPA Board of Directors hereby finds that the continued deposit and withdrawal of money in the Local Agency Investment Fund in accordance with Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the purpose of investment as provided therein is in the best interests of the SFCJPA.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the SFCJPA Board of Directors hereby authorizes the deposit and withdrawal of SFCJPA monies in the Local Agency Investment Fund in the State Treasury in accordance with Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the purpose of investment as provided therein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, as follows:

Section 1. The following SFCJPA officers holding the title(s) specified herein below **or their successors in office** are each hereby authorized to order the deposit or withdrawal of monies in the Local Agency Investment Fund and may execute and deliver any and all documents necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purposes of this resolution and the transactions contemplated hereby:

Greer Stone, Board Member

Lisa Gauthier, Board Member

Tess Byler, Interim Executive Director & Senior Project Manager

Miyko Harris-Parker, Finance & Administration Manager & Clerk of the Board

Section 2. This resolution shall remain in full force and effect until rescinded by the Board of Directors by resolution and a copy of the resolution rescinding this resolution is filed with the State Treasurer's Office.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

APPROVED:

Greer Stone
Chairperson

Date:

Legal
Date:

ATTEST:

Miyko Harris-Parker
Clerk of the Board as attester

Date:

PASSED AND ADOPTED, by the Board of Directors of the SFCJPA in the City of Menlo Park, the State of California on October 22, 2026.

Agenda Item 7.B. – Consider and Approve a Resolution (26-10-22-B) Authorizing the Interim Executive Director to negotiate and execute a second amendment to HDR Engineering Inc.’s Master Service Agreement for Evaluation, Design and Environmental Services for the SAFER Bay Project

Background

The Master Services Agreement (MSA) for Strategy to Advance Flood Protection, Ecosystems and Recreation along the Bay (SAFER Bay) Project was developed, bid and awarded with an overall scope of work to move the SAFER Bay Project from design and permitting to bid ready documents. The MSA was executed on October 24, 2013, with HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR). The HDR team consists of HDR Engineering, Environmental Sciences Associates (ESA), and Integral Consulting (formerly H.T. Harvey).

Work has been completed in accordance with Task Orders issued by the SFCJPA. Four Task Orders have been issued under the MSA as described below:

Task Order	Scope Summary	Funding Source(s)	Date closed
1	East Palo Alto and Menlo Park Feasibility Study	Closed DWR Grant No. 4600009955 and match by East Palo Alto and Menlo Park	2019
2	Palo Alto Feasibility Study	City of Palo Alto	2019
3	CEQA Notice of Preparation, design Concepts, preapplication meetings with stakeholders and the Bay Area Restoration Regulatory Integration Team	Current DWR Grant No. 4600009954; match by East Palo Alto and Menlo Park; and SFBRA grant SFB0041-RA035 award \$1M	January 26, 2023
4	Draft and Final Environmental Impact Report, 10 to 30% designs, associated technical studies, city and other stakeholder coordination	SFBRA SFB0041-RA035 augmented grant funding of \$3,980,000	Last date for grant charges: November 30, 2026. Grant term ends January 31, 2027.

The SFCJPA Board amended and updated the MSA in May 2023. The MSA not-to-exceed cap was increased to \$7,600,000. The cost estimate to complete work under Tasks 1-4 of the MSA is \$7,460,000.

On June 12, 2026, the SFBRA Governing Board awarded the SFCJPA a partial award of \$3,850,000 to bring restoration designs and design of the engineered levee through the SF2 ponds to 60-90%, and to obtain permits for the overall SAFER Bay Project via the Bay Area Restoration Regulatory Integration Team (BRRIT).

The SFCJPA Board unanimously approved (5-0) Resolution No. 26-08-27-E *Resolution of The Board of Directors of The San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority Approving the Acceptance of Funds from the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority for the Safer Bay Restoration Design and Permitting Project and Authorizing the Acting or Interim Executive Director to Negotiate and Execute the Terms of The Grant Agreement*. The SFBRA and SFCJPA are in the process of executing grant agreement SFB0067-RA035.

The grant covers other work outside of the HDR team, including Project Management staff time, continued work with community-based organizations and the SAFER Bay Advisory Group, educational outreach and continued tribal consultations with the Association of Ramaytush Ohlone.

The SFCJPA has negotiated costs and scope for Task Order 5 with HDR, with and the total cost of \$3,236,931 in your board package (Agenda Item 8.C).

Considering the current MSA cap of \$7,600,000 and the total new funding from SFBRA grant SFB0067-RA035 of \$3,850,000, staff recommends authorizing the Interim Executive Director to negotiate and execute a second amendment to HDR Engineering Inc.'s Master Service Agreement for Evaluation, Design and Environmental Services for the SAFER Bay Project, increasing the MSA cap to \$11,500,000, and making other minor edits.

Recommendation –

Approve the resolution authorizing the Interim Executive Director to negotiate and execute a second amendment to HDR Engineering Inc.'s Master Service Agreement for Evaluation, Design and Environmental Services for the SAFER Bay Project.

Second Amendment to the Master Service Agreement (MSA) between HDR Engineering, Inc. and the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority (SFCJPA)

This Second Amendment ("Amendment"), effective as of October 22, 2026, is entered into between THE SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY, a California joint powers authority ("Authority") and HDR ENGINEERING, INC., a California corporation. ("Consultant") to amend the terms of the Master Services Agreement ("Agreement") between the parties, dated October 24, 2013, as amended by the First Amendment on May 23, 2023.

WHEREAS, the parties desire to amend the Agreement to update the compensation cap and correct other minor items.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration for the mutual promises and agreements contained herein and notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Agreement, Consultant and the Authority hereby agree to the changes shown bold in the table below:

Original October 24, 2013, MSA text	First Amendment May 25, 2023, MSA text	Second Amendment October 2026
Page 2 of 7, Paragraph 3 Compensation. "Authority agrees to compensate Consultant for its services according to the fee schedule set forth by way of each approved Task Order. The compensation limit available through this MSA is \$4,300,000.00. "....."In no event shall the total compensation and costs payable to Consultant under this Agreement exceed the sum of \$4,300,000.00 unless specifically approved in advance, in writing, by Authority."	Page 2 of 7, Paragraph 3 Compensation. "Authority agrees to compensate Consultant for its services according to the fee schedule set forth by way of each approved Task Order. The compensation limit available through this MSA is \$7,600,000.00. "....."In no event shall the total compensation and costs payable to Consultant under this Agreement exceed the sum of \$7,600,000.00 unless specifically approved in advance, in writing, by Authority."	Page 2 of 7, Paragraph 3 Compensation. "Authority agrees to compensate Consultant for its services according to the fee schedule set forth by way of each approved Task Order. The compensation limit available through this MSA is \$11,500,000.00. "....."In no event shall the total compensation and costs payable to Consultant under this Agreement exceed the sum of \$11,500,000.00 unless specifically approved in advance, in writing, by Authority."
Page 2 of 7, Paragraph 4 Representatives. Subparagraph A. Project Manager "Project Manager. Sergio Jimenez is hereby designated as the representative of Consultant authorized to act in its behalf with	Page 2 of 7, Paragraph 4 Representatives. Subparagraph A. Project Manager "Project Manager. Elizabeth Mesbah, P.E. is hereby designated as the representative of Consultant authorized to act in its behalf	No change

Original October 24, 2013, MSA text	First Amendment May 25, 2023, MSA text	Second Amendment October 2026
respect to the services specified herein....”	with respect to the services specified herein....”	
Page 2 of 7, Paragraph 4 Representatives. Subparagraph B. Contract Administrator. “The Contract Administrator and Authority’s representative shall be Kevin Murray, or in his absence, an individual designated in writing by the Executive Director of Authority....”	Page 2 of 7, Paragraph 4. Representatives. Subparagraph B. Contract Administrator. “The Contract Administrator and Authority’s representative shall be Tess Byler, or in her absence, an individual designated in writing by the Executive Director of Authority....”	No change
Page 4 of 7, Paragraph 11. Insurance. Subparagraph C. Minimum Limits of Insurance. (1) General Liability. “\$1,000,000 per occurrence for bodily injury, personal injury and property damage....”	Page 4 of 7, Paragraph 11. Insurance. Subparagraph C. Minimum Limits of Insurance. (1) General Liability. “\$2,000,000 per occurrence for bodily injury, personal injury and property damage....”	No change
Page 6 of 7, Paragraph 15. Notices “Any notices, bills, invoices, or reports required by this Agreement shall be deemed received on (a) the day of delivery if delivered by hand during receiving party’s regular business hours or by facsimile before or during receiving party’s regular business hours; or (b) on the second business day following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, to the addresses heretofore below, or to such other addresses as the parties may, from time to time, designate in writing	Page 6 of 7, Paragraph 15. Notices “Any notices, bills, invoices, or reports required by this Agreement shall be deemed received on (a) the day of delivery if delivered by hand during receiving party’s regular business hours or by facsimile before or during receiving party’s regular business hours; or (b) on the second business day following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, to the addresses heretofore below, or to such other addresses as the parties may, from time to time, designate in writing pursuant to the provisions of this section or (c) via email as the preferred delivery option to	Page 6 of 7, Paragraph 15. Notices “Any notices, bills, invoices, or reports required by this Agreement shall be deemed received on (a) the day of delivery if delivered by hand during receiving party’s regular business hours or by facsimile before or during receiving party’s regular business hours; or (b) on the second business day following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, to the addresses heretofore below, or to such other addresses as the parties may, from time to time, designate in writing pursuant to the provisions of this section or (c) via email as the preferred delivery option to

Original October 24, 2013, MSA text	First Amendment May 25, 2023, MSA text	Second Amendment October 2026
pursuant to the provisions of this section.	billing@sfcipa.org with a copy to tbyler@sfcipa.org .”	billing@sfcipa.org with a copy to tbyler@sfcipa.org or designated project manager”
Page 6 of 7, Paragraph 15. Notices Authority: SFCJPA 615-B Menlo Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025 Attention: Kevin Murray	Page 6 of 7, Paragraph 15. Notices Authority: SFCJPA 750 Menlo Avenue Suite 250 Menlo Park, CA 94025 Attention: Tess Byler	No change
Page 6 of 7, Paragraph 15. Notices Consultant: HDR 2121 N. California Blvd., Suite 475 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Attention: Sergio Jimenez	Page 6 of 7, Paragraph 15. Notices Consultant: HDR 2365 Iron Point Road, Suite 300 Folsom, CA 95630 Attention: Elizabeth Mesbah	No change
Page 7 of 7 Len Materman, Executive Director	Page 7 of 7 Margaret Bruce , Executive Director	Page 7 of 7 Tess Byler , Interim Executive Director

All other terms and conditions stated in the original Agreement remain in full force and effect.

AUTHORITY

San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority

By: Tess Byler
Title: Interim Executive Director
Date:

CONSULTANT

HDR Engineering, Inc.

By: Elizabeth Mesbah, P.E.
Title: Senior Vice President
Date:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Lori Liu
General Counsel
Date:



SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK
JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY
SFCJPA.ORG

RESOLUTION NUMBER 26-10-22-B

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING THE INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE A SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE MASTER SERVICE AGREEMENT WITH HDR ENGINEERING, INC., FOR THE SAFER BAY PROJECT

Whereas, on October 24, 2013, SFCJPA executed a Master Services Agreement (MSA) with HDR Engineering, Inc. to complete feasibility analysis, design, environmental documentation and permitting for the SAFER Bay Project. The MSA serves as a governing agreement while specific work plans and actions are implemented through Task Orders; and,

WHEREAS, on May 25, 2023, the MSA was updated and amended by a First Amendment to increase cap to \$7,600,000, increase insurance requirements and other out-of-date information; and,

WHEREAS, in accordance with Resolution Number 26-08-27-E approving the acceptance of grant funds from the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority for the Safer Bay Restoration Design and Permitting Project and authorizing the Acting or Interim Executive Director to negotiate and execute the terms of the grant Agreement; and,

WHEREAS, the SFCJPA entered into a grant agreement SFB0067-RA035 with the SFBRA for grant funding of \$3,850,000 for the SAFER Bay Project for planning and design of restoration elements; and,

WHEREAS, a second amendment to the MSA is needed to increase the MSA cap from \$7,600,000 to \$11,500,000 and update signatories.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority that the Board of Directors hereby authorizes the Interim Executive Director to negotiate and execute a second amendment to the MSA with HDR Engineering, Inc.

Approved and adopted on Thursday, October 22, 2026, the undersigned hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly adopted by the Board of Directors of the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:



ATTEST:

Chairperson

Date:

Clerk of the Board as
attester

Date:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Legal Counsel

Date:

Agenda Item 7.C. – Consider and Approve a Resolution (26-10-22-C) Authorizing the Interim Executive Director to negotiate and execute a Task Order 5 of HDR Engineering Inc.'s Master Service Agreement for the SAFER Bay Project

Background

The HDR team is completing work on Task Order 4 of the Master Services Agreement in accordance with two grants: one from the State of California Department of Water Resources (DWR) in the amount of \$1,045,625, and one from the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority (SFBRA) in the amount of \$4,980,000. This work will be completed November 30, 2026.

HDR at their own cost has developed a proposal satisfactory to the SFCJPA to complete the engineering and design work that is part of the SFBRA Round 8 grant. The HDR Scope of work is attached. The attached Scope of Work describes the technical studies (bathymetry, geotechnical; stability tec) required for engineering design of the levee by the SF2 Ponds, including work on SFPUC property, restoration design and obtaining permits for the entire SAFER Bay Project from the Bay Area Restoration Regulatory Integration Team (BRRIT). The cost proposal totals \$3,326.931.

Recommendation –

Approve the resolution authorizing the Interim Executive Director to negotiate and execute Task Order 5 of HDR Engineering Inc.'s Master Service Agreement for the SAFER Bay Project.



October 9, 2026

Tess Byler
San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority
750 Menlo Avenue, Suite 250
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Reference: SAFER Bay Project – Dumbarton Approach Levee Final Design Services

Dear Tess,

HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR) is pleased to submit the enclosed revised scope of work and fee proposal to the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority (SFCJPA) for continued design of the SAFER Bay Project, including the Dumbarton Approach Levee. Building on the existing 30% flood-control levee design and subsequent coordination with SFCJPA, HDR understands that this assignment will advance the project through 60%, and 90% design while incorporating investigations, engineering analyses, pond-restoration features, and trail improvements needed for a coordinated and constructible design package.

HDR's proposed services will provide the investigations, analyses, design development, cost estimating, scheduling, and permitting support needed to advance the Dumbarton Approach Levee and related project features through final design. The work will integrate flood-risk-reduction improvements with pond restoration, trail enhancements, interior drainage, and erosion analysis under a coordinated 18-month delivery approach, providing SFCJPA with a comprehensive and constructible package suitable for bidding and implementation.

The scope of work is organized into the following principal tasks, consistent with the attached fee summary:

- Task 2. Studies, including project coordination, meetings and site visits, data collection, and engineering analyses
- Task 3. Design Activities, including plans, specifications, design documentation, cost estimates, and construction schedules
- Task 4. Permitting Technical Support

Project Understanding

The SFCJPA initiated planning and design of improvements for the SAFER Bay Project, including a portion of the Dumbarton Approach Levee as described herein. This included a 30% design for the flood control levee. In June of 2026, SFCJPA approached HDR to develop a scope to prepare engineering analyses and develop 60%, and 90% design .

The extents of the project are illustrated in the Figure Below.

This scope of work includes 60%, and 90%, design package and supporting engineering analyses.



The scope of work is for the following services:

- Data Collection
 - Geotechnical Explorations
 - Topographic Survey
 - Bathymetric Survey
 - Utility Potholing
- Engineering Analyses
 - Geotechnical Analyses
 - Erosion Requirements Analyses
- Design – Plans, Specifications, and Estimates
 - 60% Design
 - 90% Design

TASK 2. Studies

2.1 Project Team Coordination

HDR's project manager will coordinate services for the duration of this contract. This task includes coordination between HDR's design disciplines and subconsultants, developing and maintaining quality control, preparing and maintaining a design schedule for the Project team, coordination with SFCJPA, and providing progress reports to SFCJPA. Progress reports will provide a summary of the work performed during the month, activities planned for the following month, and identify technical, budget, or schedule issues. Progress meetings are included in Task 2.2.

Deliverables:

- Monthly progress reports (PDF)
- Design Schedule / monthly Design Schedule updates (PDF)

Assumptions:

- Notice to Proceed will be provided on 15 October 2026.
- Work under this task order will be completed within 24 months of NTP. Delays may require a contract and fee modification. 90% Design is anticipated to be completed within 18 months of NTP.
- Project coordination activities directly related to technical work on the project are included throughout the scope and fee.

2.2 Project Meetings and Site Visits

2.2.1 Coordination Meetings

HDR will attend bi-weekly coordination meetings with representatives of SFCJPA throughout the 18-month duration of the design work. Meetings will inform the parties of progress to date, critical activities, interdependencies of work products, key issues and resolutions, and key decisions. HDR will develop agendas and meeting notes for each meeting.

Deliverables:

- Meeting agendas and notes (PDF)

Assumptions:

- Coordination meetings will be up to one hour, virtual and attended by up to four HDR professionals as needed.
- Meetings will be bi-weekly.

2.2.2 HDR Delivery Team Coordination Meetings

HDR and ESA (See ESA proposal) design leads will attend coordination meetings to discuss ongoing coordination between the disciplines throughout the duration of the project.

Assumptions:

- Meetings will be held bi-weekly and attended by the PM, Civil Lead and Geotech Lead. The CAD lead, and Coastal Lead will attend approximately one quarter to one half of the meetings.
- Meeting duration will be 1 hour.

2.2.3 Design Team Site Visits

HDR will visit the project site during the design phase. The intent of the site visits is to confirm field conditions relative to as-built documents, and design assumptions, as well as to assess site characteristics and constraints affecting the project alignment and design of key project features. Photos of site features will be taken, and pertinent observations will be recorded.

Deliverables:

- Site photos with descriptions. (PDF)

Assumptions:

- 1 field visit for kickoff and 60%.
- Field visits will be attended by up to four HDR staff members per trip.
- First site visit will act as project kickoff meeting, hosted by SFCJPA.
- Permission to enter site, if required, will be provided by SFCJPA.

2.3 Data Collection

2.3.1 Geotechnical Data Collection

The subsurface exploration program will supplement existing information included in HDR's "Geotechnical Data Report, SFPUC Ravenswood Facility to Bedwell Bayfront Park" (dated March 7, 2025) (HDR 2025). The subsurface exploration program will focus on the portion of the project alignment within the Ravenswood Salt Pond SF2 (Pond SF2) between CA State Route 84 (to the north) and SFPUC property (to the south). The following explorations will be completed:

- Drilling five borings to a depth of about 80 feet and one boring to a depth of approximately 100 feet.
- Advancing five cone penetration tests (CPTs) to a depth of approximately 80 feet and two CPTs to a depth of approximately 100 feet. Shear wave velocity test data will be collected in three of the CPTs.
- Geotechnical laboratory tests on selected samples obtained from the borings.

HDR will retain and coordinate with a qualified exploration subcontractor (Gregg Drilling) that has specialized equipment to access the proposed boring and CPT locations within Pond SF2. Specifically, six borings will be drilled and seven CPTs will be advanced using an amphibious drill platform (amphibious platform). The amphibious platform is needed because the water in Pond SF2 is too deep for a conventional drill rig and too shallow for a barge-mounted drill rig. The amphibious platform is a low-ground-pressure, track-mounted pontoon vehicle specifically designed to traverse very soft ground or float in shallow water conditions, consistent with the surface conditions of Pond SF2. A staging area will be required just north of Pond SF2 to assemble and disassemble the drill platform at the beginning and end of the investigation, respectively.

Drill cuttings and fluids will be generated from the borings and contained in drums. The drummed drill spoils will be stored in this staging area during the geotechnical investigation and while chemical testing is performed to check for potential contaminants. Following chemical testing of samples of the drummed materials, Gregg Drilling will arrange to have the materials transported to an appropriate disposal facility. This scope and fee assume that the subsurface materials encountered are free of contaminants.

Prior to beginning the field work, HDR will prepare a site-specific health and safety plan (HASP), obtain the applicable encroachment and drilling permits, check site access, and check for the presence of underground utilities by contacting Underground Service Alert (USA). Additionally,

the geotechnical investigation work in Pond SF2 is subject to regulatory oversight by various State and Federal agencies. SFCJPA led coordination and permitting efforts with these agencies.

A laboratory testing subcontractor will be retained to perform geotechnical laboratory tests on selected samples obtained from the borings. Testing will include moisture content, density, Atterberg limits, gradation, consolidation, and shear strength, as appropriate. The types and quantity of tests will be selected based on the findings from the borings.

The geotechnical data collected under this task will be used to support the geotechnical analyses and recommendations developed under the subsequent geotechnical analysis and reporting task (Task 2.4.1). Logs of test borings and CPTs and laboratory test results will be included in the Geotechnical Investigation Report under Task 2.4.1.

Integral Consulting (formerly HT Harvey) will provide wildlife monitoring during geotechnical explorations, as outlined in their proposal (attached).

Deliverables:

- None.

Assumptions:

- Explorations will be performed during weekday working hours from 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM.
- The geotechnical exploration (including assembly and disassembly of the amphibious platform) can be completed in a maximum of 18 days onsite.
- HDR will be provided ready access to proposed exploration locations.
- Soil is free of contaminants.
- The amphibious platform will need to enter Pond SF2 from the staging area through a heavily damaged gate at the north end of the existing dike that bisects the two primary portions of Pond SF2. HDR assumes that further damage/modification of the gate by the drilling subcontractor, as needed to allow passage of the 14-foot-wide drill platform into Pond SF2, is acceptable. HDR's and Gregg Drilling's scopes and fees do not include removal of the gate nor reconstruction/repair of the gate after completion of the field work.
- SFCJPA will coordinate permits, rights-of-entry, and permissions needed to conduct the geotechnical investigation, as described in the Draft Geotechnical Investigation Work Plan (HDR 2026).
- The geotechnical investigation can be performed under a CEQA NOE.

2.3.2 Utility Potholing - OPTIONAL

After completion of 60% design, HDR will obtain approximately 6 potholes at yet to be determined locations along the project alignment. The scope assumes that potholing efforts will be completed in up to two groups over two days. Pothole sites will have USA location services performed prior to potholing activities. Potholing cores will remove asphalt concrete (AC) plugs, if needed, and vector native material. Cores will be backfilled with sand, concrete, and AC per local standards or best practices where required. Traffic or Pedestrian Control Plans will be developed, submitted, and implemented as required for each potholing core location and encroachment permits will be obtained by SFCJPA to perform the work.

Deliverables:

- Pothole Plan with Locations (PDF)

- Traffic/Pedestrian Control Plan (PDF)
- Encroachment permits (PDF)
- Updated Survey CAD file with subsurface findings (DWG)

Assumptions:

- Pothole locations to be finalized prior to start of potholing services
- SFCJPA will coordinate permits, rights-of-entry, and permissions needed to conduct the potholing.

2.3.3 Bathymetry

For completion of 60% design, HDR will repurpose the aerial survey obtained in October 2023 under a previous task order.

A bathymetric survey will be performed of the project extents to obtain underwater topographic information.

- Data will be collected with a single beam transducer at 200kHz at the minimum.
- Vegetation will be an issue, and best effort will be attempted to gather data in heavily covered areas.
- Historic imagery has shown moss or some other growth on the water surface, depending on the makeup of that, access could be prevented or limited.
- Specialized equipment will be necessary for this survey, and as such coordination and flexibility in survey time frame will be necessary.
- It is presumed we will survey the channels available under normal water levels.
- It is presumed we will have unrestricted access to the survey sites, including vehicle access to bring equipment into site.

Deliverables:

- Bathymetry and existing topography will be packaged together in a DWG file for SFCJPA (DWG)

Assumptions:

- SFCJPA will coordinate permits, rights-of-entry, and permissions needed to conduct the bathymetry.

2.4 Engineering Analyses

2.4.1 Geotechnical Analysis and Reporting

Based on information from subsurface explorations and laboratory tests, HDR will prepare plan and profile figures presenting interpreted geotechnical and geologic conditions along the project alignment. HDR will divide the alignment into reaches with similar subsurface conditions and select a representative cross-section for each reach. HDR will then select soil parameters for analysis of each cross-section.

HDR will perform steady-state seepage and stability analyses for representative levee cross-sections along the levee alignment in the Pond SF2 area (i.e., about Stations 118+00 to 151+00). For cost estimating purposes, HDR assumes up to four representative levee cross-section locations. For each cross-section location, seepage and stability analyses will be performed for the following conditions:

- Seepage (both levee through-seepage and under seepage)

- Stability under steady-state seepage conditions
- Stability at the end of construction
- Stability under rapid drawdown loading conditions
- Stability under seismic loading, including estimated magnitudes of liquefaction-induced levee settlement and pseudo-static lateral displacement

Additionally, due to the presence of soft Young Bay Mud (YBM), it is anticipated that embankment settlement will be a significant design consideration for the project. HDR will perform consolidation settlement analyses to estimate magnitudes of settlement over time. These settlement analyses will also be considered in conjunction with the above-mentioned stability analyses to support development of appropriate levee configurations.

Geotechnical evaluations for the levee will be performed in accordance with the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Regulations 65.10(b) and its referenced USACE engineering manuals:

- EM 1110-2-1913, Design and Construction of Levees (30 April 2000)
- EM 1110-2-1904, Settlement Analysis (30 September 1990)

HDR will perform appropriate stability and settlement analyses for one representative cross-section of the salt pond islands proposed to be located in the tidal salt pond restoration area (north of CA State Route 84). No new geotechnical data will be collected to support the design of the islands.

HDR will prepare a geotechnical investigation report presenting analysis results and geotechnical conclusions and recommendations to support design of the project. The report will include the following:

- Site geology and seismicity.
- Soil and groundwater conditions encountered.
- Results and conclusions related to engineering analyses performed for the levee.
- Recommendations for levee design and construction including allowable slope inclinations, inspection trenches, overbuild to accommodate long-term settlement, subgrade preparation, allowable fill materials, placement and compaction of fill, and suitability of onsite soil for use as fill, and other design recommendations as appropriate (e.g., seepage cutoffs, geosynthetic reinforcement, staged construction).
- Recommendations for salt pond island design and construction.
- Discussion of the potential for seismic hazards, including liquefaction and its potential effects on the levee.

Deliverables:

- Draft Geotechnical Investigation Report (PDF)
- Final Geotechnical Investigation Report (PDF)

Assumptions:

- This scope and fee assume that field exploration and laboratory testing under Task 2.3.1 will be completed prior to the start of this geotechnical analysis and reporting task.
- Geotechnical seepage analyses are limited to steady-state conditions only (i.e., no transient seepage analysis will be needed).

- Geotechnical stability analyses for each cross-section are limited to limit-equilibrium methods only. The scope and fee do not include stress/deformation analyses using advanced numerical methods (e.g., finite element or finite difference numerical methods).
- This scope and fee do not include the development and implementation of liquefaction mitigation measures, such as soil improvement.
- The proposed scope and fee do not include performing a site-specific seismic response analysis. Seismic parameters for design will be developed using the online United States Geological Survey (USGS) Earthquake Hazard Toolbox. Should conditions be encountered that would require a site-specific seismic response analysis (e.g., Site Class F soils), or if required by FEMA or other stakeholders, additional scope, fee, and time will be needed.
- The proposed scope and fee assume that the project features will not be subject to the requirements of USACE ER 1110-2-1806, "Engineering and Design, Earthquake Analysis, Evaluation, and Design for Civil Works Projects," effective June 29, 2024. The proposed scope and fee do not include any additional field investigation, laboratory testing, analysis, evaluation, or design that may be required should any of the project features be subject to USACE seismic design requirements.
- The proposed scope and fee assume that geotechnical evaluation and design for the pond islands can be completed without collecting additional geotechnical data in that area and will be based on a combination of information collected through desktop study, experience with similar soil conditions in the overall SAFER Bay Project area, and engineering judgment.
- The proposed scope and fee do not include geotechnical input for proposed trail improvements or pond restoration (with the exception of the salt pond islands).

2.4.3 Scour and Erosion Protection Analysis

The scour and erosion protection analyses through Pond SF2 will be based upon the wave modeling and wave runup coastal hazard analysis performed by ESA. HDR will review the models and reports to identify design conditions. Additional analytical methods may be used to develop site specific conditions. HDR will assess the conditions and develop a coastal protection system for the proposed levee alignment (STA 100+00 to STA 150+00) that meets the minimum requirements for protection outlined by the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and criteria established in Title 44 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 60.3 (44 CFR § 60.3). Bank stabilization methods for the Proposed Trail Improvement Area (East) and Proposed Trail Improvement Area (West) will be developed.

HDR will document the coastal scour and erosion protection analysis in a memo and will include typical schematic sections, to be incorporated into Task 3.

Deliverables:

- Memo documenting scour and erosion protection analysis and schematics of erosion protection design. (PDF)

Assumptions:

- Analysis will be based on design wave and water level conditions developed by ESA.
- HDR will not perform additional numerical wave modeling.
- Assumes no erosion protection design will be needed.

TASK 3 – Design Activities

3.1 Plans, Specifications, and Design Documentation Report

HDR will prepare drawings using AutoCAD software using City of Menlo Park-approved standards where applicable. These drawings will include general project layouts, survey and mapping data, levee alignment and profiles, typical section, utility designs, and other necessary information for construction drawings.

HDR will develop a specifications package using Specs Intact software. Specifications will follow Federal United Facilities Guide Specifications. Specifications will be added, modified, or removed as design progresses.

HDR will develop a Design Documentation Report (DDR) incorporating design information from the tasks discussed in this scope of work. The DDR is intended to be a living document that is updated at each increment of design and will provide documentation and justification for the assumptions used in analyses, calculations, and designs.

HDR will coordinate with City of Menlo Park and the Refuge as well as respective agency/owner for modifications to public/City maintained utilities impacted by the Project (if applicable). HDR will prepare designs for the respective utilities in accordance with local and state standards and codes. These designs will be provided to the respective agency/owner for comment and review for each increment in design.

HDR will develop levee design to meet current relevant federal and local standards.

HDR will develop trail improvement designs following BCDC design guidelines for Shoreline Spaces as well as the San Francisco Bay Trail Design Guidelines and Toolkit 2.0.

HDR will develop wetland restoration design.

3.1.1 60% Design Submittal

HDR will update existing 30% plans for the levee and develop new plans for the additional portions of the project to prepare a 60% design submittal. The submittal will represent completion of approximately 60% design effort for the proposed project, including levee design, trail improvement design, and pond restoration design.

The plans will include:

- Typical front-end sheets (title, index, legend and symbols, survey control, notes, abbreviations, and location map)
- Construction limits and haul routes
- Plan views and profiles of the project
- Grading plans for habitat restoration work
- Actual detailed cross sections of the levee
- Typical details

The technical specifications will be developed to support the project and include appropriate Division 1 (technical) and above specification sections. Specifications will be prepared following UFGS format. The 60% DDR will be drafted. The DDR will include summaries of assumptions, sources of information used to support the design, analyses, design results, discussion of findings, and items that may require special consideration. Appendices will be incorporated as applicable and may include design calculations, worksheets, reports prepared by others, and pertinent correspondence.

ESA will provide Hydraulic Modeling and Design Support to confirm assumptions for the 60% design. See ESA proposal (attached).

Integral Consulting Inc. (formerly HT Harvey) will provide designs related to Restoration Design. See HT Harvey proposal (attached).

The 60% submittal package will be sent to SFCJPA for review and coordination with other interested stakeholders.

Deliverables:

- 60% Plans (PDF)
- 60% Specifications (PDF)
- 60% Design Documentation Report (PDF)

Assumptions:

- SFCJPA will send plans to appropriate stakeholders for review and comments.
- Stakeholders review comments will be received within ten (10) working days after receiving them. Comments will be addressed via responses only and changes will be incorporated into the next iteration of design.
- HDR will coordinate utility reviews with appropriate utility owners.
- All documents will be submitted electronic files.
- Pump station design, interior drainage analysis, and future levee reaches will be conducted under a future TO.

3.1.3 90% Design Submittal - OPTIONAL

The 60% submittal package developed as part of the previous task above will be updated to address comments and advance design to the 90% level. The 90% submittal is treated as the 'first cut' of a final design. In addition to the types of plan sheets identified above, the 90% plans are anticipated to also include detailing of key project features. The technical specifications developed in the 60% submittal package will be advanced to a 90% level of development to support the project. The 90% specification package is treated as a 'first cut' of a final submittal package.

The DDR will be updated to a 90% level of completion to address comments and advance design documentation. The DDR will update summaries of assumptions, sources of information used to support the design, analyses, design results, discussion of findings, and items that may require special consideration. Appendices will be incorporated as applicable and may include design calculations, worksheets, reports prepared by others, and pertinent correspondence.

The 90% submittal package will be sent to SFCJPA for review and coordination with other project stakeholders, as appropriate. 90% design will reconcile post 60% review updates and submit 90% design progress drawings set and specifications to address project work items and update DDR. Drawings and specifications will be close to final product.

Integral Consulting Inc. will provide designs related to Restoration Design. See Integral Consulting, Inc., proposal (attached).

Deliverables:

- 90% Plans (PDF)
- 90% Specifications (PDF)
- 90% Design Documentation Report (PDF)

Assumptions:

- SFCJPA will send plans to appropriate stakeholders for review and comments.
- Stakeholders review comments will be received within ten (10) working days after receiving them.
- All submittal documents will be submitted as electronic files.

3.2 Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule

3.2.1 60% Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule

HDR will update the Class 3 30% cost estimate based on the 60% design documents. Appropriate contingencies will be added to the estimate and notable cost risks will be described in the DDR. The construction schedule will be updated based on 60% design considerations. A bid schedule will be prepared based on the 60% design documents. Bid schedule line items will be structured to capture the full scope of construction work and allow contract and payment control.

Deliverables:

- Class 3 Cost Estimate (PDF)
- 60% Construction Schedule (PDF)
- 60% Bid Schedule (PDF)

Assumptions:

- The cost estimate will only consider construction costs anticipated to be part of a construction contract. Other project implementation costs, such as owner's supervision and overhead, real estate, permitting, construction management, design services, and cultural costs will not be included.
- The cost estimate will be prepared in Microsoft Excel.
- The construction schedule will be prepared in standard, professional scheduling software such as Microsoft Project or Primavera P6.

References:

- AACE Recommended Practice 56R-08
- USACE Engineering Regulation 1110-2-1302

3.3.2 90% Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule - OPTIONAL

HDR will update the Class 3 60% cost estimate to a Class 2 cost estimate based on the 90% design documents. Appropriate contingencies will be added to the estimate and notable cost risks will be described in the DDR. The construction schedule will be updated based on 90% design considerations. A bid schedule will be prepared based on the 90% design documents. Bid schedule line items will be structured to capture the full scope of construction work and allow contract and payment control.

Deliverables:

- Class 2 Cost Estimate (PDF)
- 90% Construction Schedule (PDF)
- 90% Bid Schedule (PDF)

Assumptions:

- The cost estimate will only consider construction costs anticipated to be part of a construction contract. Other project implementation costs, such as owner's supervision and overhead, real estate, permitting, construction management, design services, and cultural costs will not be included.

- The cost estimate will be prepared in Microsoft Excel.
- The construction schedule will be prepared in standard, professional scheduling software such as Microsoft Project or Primavera P6.

References:

- AACE Recommended Practice 56R-08
- USACE Engineering Regulation 1110-2-1302

TASK 4 – Permitting Technical Support

ESA will provide technical support for Permitting efforts and the modeling and management plan. See ESA proposal (attached).

HT Harvey will provide BRRIT and Stakeholder Coordination during Permitting Process. See HT Harvey proposal (attached).

Project Budget

The attached fee spreadsheet provides a breakdown of the proposed level of effort and fee by task. The proposal assumes a 24-month period of performance beginning upon notice to proceed.

We appreciate the opportunity to continue supporting SFCJPA on this important project. If you have any questions regarding this scope or fee, please contact Kenric Jameson, PG, PMP, at 916.679.8748 or Kenric.Jameson@hdrinc.com.

Sincerely,

HDR Engineering, Inc.



Elizabeth Mesbah, PE (CA #73078)
Vice President

10329840/DJ/cs



Kenric Jameson, PG, PMP
Project Manager

Base Tasks

Task	Base Task Description	Total HDR Labor Hours	Total HDR Labor (\$)	HDR Expenses (\$)	Sub Consultant Cost (\$)	Total Cost (\$)
Rates						
Task 2 - Studies						
2.1	Project Team Coordination	144	35,250.86			35,250.86
2.2	Project Meetings and Site Visits					
2.2.1	Stakeholder Coordination Meetings (Monthly).	159	46,337.02			46,337.02
2.2.2	HDR Delivery Team Coordination Meetings	195	50,938.07		27,136.00	78,074.07
2.2.3	Design Team Site Visits	56	16,393.87	2,500.00		18,893.87
2.3	Data Collection					
2.3.1	Geotechnical Data Collection	470	99,413.30	9,900.00	569,902.00	679,215.30
2.3.3	Topography and Bathymetry	22	5,834.43		103,900.00	109,734.43
2.4	Engineering Analyses					
2.4.1	Interior Drainage Analysis	20	4,127.42		18,368.00	22,495.42
2.4.2	Geotechnical Analysis	908	206,078.09		0.00	206,078.09
2.4.3	Scour, Erosion Protection, and Hydraulics Analyses	184	43,260.50		48,132.00	91,392.50
2.4.4	Structural Analyses	0	0.00			0.00
Subtotal Task 2		2,158	507,633.56	12,400.00	767,438.00	1,287,471.56
Task 3 - Design Activities						
3.1	Plans, Specifications, and Design Documentation Report					
3.1.2	60% Design Submittal					
	Plans	1,099	230,375.86	800.00	106,924.00	338,099.86
	Specifications	180	36,237.84	400.00	400,504.00	437,141.84
	DDR	84	17,830.60	200.00		18,030.60
	Internal Quality Control	136	38,556.22			38,556.22
	Response to External Comments	24	6,071.27			6,071.27
3.2	Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule					
3.2.2	60% Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule	68	17,866.00			17,866.00
Subtotal Task 3		1,591	346,937.79	1,400.00	507,428.00	855,765.79
Task 4 - Permitting and Technical Support						
4.0	Permitting and Technical Support	12	3,875.00	0.00	545,678.00	549,553.00
	Technical reports to support permitting	288	60,269.67	0.00		60,269.67
Subtotal Task 6		300	64,144.67	0.00	545,678.00	609,822.67
COLUMN TOTALS		4,049	\$ 918,716	\$ 13,800	\$ 1,820,544	\$ 2,753,060

Optional Tasks

Task	Optional Task Description	Total HDR Labor Hours	Total HDR Labor (\$)	HDR Expenses (\$)	Sub Consultant Cost (\$)	Total Cost (\$)
Rates						
Task 2 - Studies						
2.1	Project Team Coordination					
2.2	Project Meetings and Site Visits					
2.3	Data Collection					
2.3.2	Utility Potholing - OPTIONAL	52	13,379.37	1,200.00	26,596.00	41,175.37
Subtotal Task 2		52	13,379.37	1,200.00	26,596.00	41,175.37
Task 3 - Design Activities						
3.1	Plans, Specifications, and Design Documentation Report					
3.1.3	90% Design Submittal - OPTIONAL					
	Plans	1,006	209,747.12	1,000.00		210,747.12
	Specifications	214	44,432.23	500.00	200,950.00	245,882.23
	DDR	60	12,208.93	300.00		12,508.93
	Internal Quality Control	122	34,652.81			34,652.81
	Response to External Comments	32	8,507.64			8,507.64
3.1.4	100% Design Submittal - OPTIONAL					
	Plans	0	0.00			0.00
	Specifications	0	0.00			0.00
	DDR	0	0.00			0.00
	Internal Quality Control	0	0.00			0.00
	Response to External Comments	0	0.00			0.00
3.2	Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule					
3.2.3	90% Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule - OPTIONAL	80	20,397.21			20,397.21
3.2.4	100% Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule - OPTIONAL	0	0.00			0.00
Subtotal Task 3		1,514	329,945.94	1,800.00	200,950.00	532,695.94
COLUMN TOTALS		1,566	\$ 343,325	\$ 3,000	\$ 227,546	\$ 573,871

All Tasks

Task	Task Description	Total HDR Labor Hours	Total HDR Labor (\$)	HDR Expenses (\$)	Sub Consultant Cost (\$)	Total Cost (\$)
Rates						
Task 2 - Studies						
2.1	Project Team Coordination	144	35,250.86			35,250.86
2.2	Project Meetings and Site Visits					
2.2.1	Stakeholder Coordination Meetings (Monthly).	159	46,337.02			46,337.02
2.2.2	HDR Delivery Team Coordination Meetings	195	50,938.07		27,136.00	78,074.07
2.2.3	Design Team Site Visits	56	16,393.87	2,500.00		18,893.87
2.3	Data Collection					
2.3.1	Geotechnical Data Collection	470	99,413.30	9,900.00	569,902.00	679,215.30
2.3.2	Utility Potholing - OPTIONAL	52	13,379.37	1,200.00	26,596.00	41,175.37
2.3.3	Topography and Bathymetry	22	5,834.43		103,900.00	109,734.43
2.4	Engineering Analyses					
2.4.1	Interior Drainage Analysis	20	4,127.42		18,368.00	22,495.42
2.4.2	Geotechnical Analysis	908	206,078.09		0.00	206,078.09
2.4.3	Scour, Erosion Protection, and Hydraulics Analyses	184	43,260.50		48,132.00	91,392.50
2.4.4	Structural Analyses	0	0.00			0.00
Subtotal Task 2		2,210	521,012.93	13,600.00	794,034.00	1,328,646.93
Task 3 - Design Activities						
3.1	Plans, Specifications, and Design Documentation Report					
3.1.2	60% Design Submittal					
	Plans	1,099	230,375.86	800.00	106,924.00	338,099.86
	Specifications	180	36,237.84	400.00	400,504.00	437,141.84
	DDR	84	17,830.60	200.00		18,030.60
	Internal Quality Control	136	38,556.22			38,556.22
	Response to External Comments	24	6,071.27			6,071.27
3.1.3	90% Design Submittal - OPTIONAL					
	Plans	1,006	209,747.12	1,000.00		210,747.12
	Specifications	214	44,432.23	500.00	200,950.00	245,882.23
	DDR	60	12,208.93	300.00		12,508.93
	Internal Quality Control	122	34,652.81			34,652.81
	Response to External Comments	32	8,507.64			8,507.64
3.2	Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule					
3.2.2	60% Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule	68	17,866.00			17,866.00
3.2.3	90% Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule - OPTIONAL	80	20,397.21			20,397.21
3.2.4	100% Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule - OPTIONAL	0	0.00			0.00
Subtotal Task 3		3,105	676,883.73	3,200.00	708,378.00	1,388,461.73
Task 4 - Permitting and Technical Support						
4.0	Permitting and Technical Support	12	3,875.00	0.00	545,678.00	549,553.00
	Technical reports to support permitting	288	60,269.67	0.00		60,269.67
Subtotal Task 4		300	64,144.67	0.00	545,678.00	609,822.67
COLUMN TOTALS		5,615	\$ 1,262,041	\$ 16,800	\$ 2,048,090	\$ 3,326,931

Proposed Scope and Fee Estimate SAFER Bay - Task Order 5 Restoration Design and Regulatory Permitting

Proposal # 26206

July 31, 2026

Revised September 15, 2026

H. T. Harvey & Associates (H. T. Harvey), now a part of Integral Consulting, proposes to provide additional ecological consulting services to HDR, Inc. for the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority's (JPA's) SAFER Bay Project. Under H. T. Harvey's existing Task Order 4, we have completed preparation of numerous deliverables supporting the project's Draft and Final Environmental Impact Report (DEIR/FEIR) and the early stages of regulatory permitting. Our Task Order 4 scope includes H. T. Harvey's forthcoming preparation of state and federal natural resource permit application materials via the Bay Restoration Regulatory Integration Team (BRRIT) process. However, permitting coordination with the JPA's member cities and the BRRIT has exceeded our Task Order 4 scope.

This proposal for Task Order 5 includes scope for H. T. Harvey to provide ecological restoration design services in the Ravenswood Pond Complex and additional BRRIT permitting support. We understand that the JPA's funding for Task Order 5 is associated with a grant awarded by the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority (SFBRA) via 2024 Grant Round 8.

Scope of Work

The tasks below are consistent with the JPA's grant application to SFBRA for 2024 Grant Round 8.

Task 1. Project and Grant Management

No Scope for H. T. Harvey. This task is for JPA management.

Task 2. Studies

2.1 Wildlife Field Monitoring During HDR's Geotechnical Boring Investigation. HDR plans to conduct a geotechnical investigation within Pond SF2 in fall 2026. This work will be covered by a forthcoming Section 404 Nationwide Permit from U. S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and an associated Section 7 Consultation with the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). H. T. Harvey's wildlife ecologists will provide

resumes to USFWS to receive approval to provide field monitoring on the project. H. T. Harvey's USFWS-approved wildlife ecologists will provide worker environmental awareness training, assist with implementation of applicable Avoidance and Minimization Measures (AMMs), and provide wildlife monitoring for up to 18 days during HDR's geotechnical investigation. We assume that the AMMs in the forthcoming permit(s) will be like those approved by USFWS during informal consultations for geotechnical investigations in 2022 and 2023.

2.2 Miscellaneous ecological studies and documentation. We anticipate that the BRRIT will request additional ecological studies and documentation to support permitting by the state and/or federal regulatory agencies. H. T. Harvey's ecologists and permitting specialists will conduct ecological studies and documentation, as requested, up to the limit of the Task 2 budget. Examples of this type of documentation include the following:

- Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) net wetland loss technical memorandum requested by RWQCB; this task has been completed (and was out of scope for our existing Task Order 4). We anticipate that the RWQCB may request additional documentation on this topic.
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) recently requested that H. T. Harvey prepare documentation on the project's effects on special-status fish and justify our professional opinion that the project will result in a net ecological lift for special-status fish. This work will include preparation of a slide deck to support H. T. Harvey's attendance at a fish-focused meeting with NMFS, California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), Don Edwards National Wildlife Refuge (Refuge), and South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project (SBSPRP) representatives. This will also include preparation of a meeting summary, followed by preparation of a technical memorandum.

Task 2 Deliverables:

- Geotechnical investigation monitoring:
 - Worker awareness training brochure (update existing as needed)
 - Technical memorandum summarizing biological monitoring observations for permit compliance
- Documentation of miscellaneous ecological studies that could include slide decks, maps, technical memoranda/reports

Task 3. 60% Restoration Design Plans, Specifications and Estimates

H. T. Harvey, in collaboration with HDR and ESA, produced the Draft and Final *SAFER Bay Project Habitat Restoration Basis of Design Report* (dated April 2026) (Restoration BOD). The Final Restoration BOD included input from the BRRIT's review and was incorporated into the project description for SAFER Bay's EIR. The Restoration BOD provides the project's preliminary 30% restoration plans and design rationale, focused primarily on tidal marsh restoration in Ponds R1 and R2 and managed pond enhancements within Ponds SF2 and R3. Under this task, H. T. Harvey will support the HDR team to advance the Restoration BOD's design to produce 60% plans, specifications, and estimates (PSE) for the habitat restoration and enhancements in the Ravenswood Ponds. This will include assistance with topsoil preparation for revegetation and contaminant

quality assurance specifications for import of soil suitable to meet the Refuge's Master Quality Assurance Project Plan (Master QAPP).

Construction documents will be prepared in the following software and formats:

Item	Software	Format
Plans	AutoCAD 2024 or later	H. T. Harvey standard
Specifications	Microsoft Word	Construction Specifications Institute (CSI) standard
Opinion of estimated cost	Microsoft Excel	H. T. Harvey standard

3.1 Tidal Restoration PSE in Ponds R1/R2. H. T. Harvey understands that HDR's engineers will prepare the earthwork PSE for tidal restoration, inclusive of topsoil preparation prior to seeding. H. T. Harvey's restoration and wildlife ecologists will collaborate with HDR engineers and ESA hydrologists to provide ecological design guidance consistent with the intent of the Restoration BOD by attending design coordination meetings. They will also provide written comments and plan markups on all components of the earthwork design that would affect ecological outcomes.

H. T. Harvey's landscape architects will prepare 60% PSE for native plant seeding of the high tide refugia berms, decommissioned trail segment in R1, and existing internal R1/R2 berm.

3.2 Pond Enhancement in Ponds SF2 and R3. H. T. Harvey's landscape architects and wildlife ecologists will collaborate with HDR to prepare 60% PSE for pond enhancements for Western snowy plover (WSP) in accordance with the Restoration BOD. H. T. Harvey will prepare a grading plan for Pond SF2 (U2 and U3) and seeding plans for SF2 levee slopes. Grading plans will include specification of surface materials for crypsis in Pond SF2 and Pond R3. PSE for WSP enhancements will reference earthwork specifications prepared by HDR.

Task 3 Deliverables:

- Written comments and plan markups on HDR's 60% tidal restoration earthwork PSE
- 60% landscape PSE for seeding R1/R2 refugia berms, decommissioned trail, and internal R1/R2 berm, and SF2 levee
- 60% earthwork PSE for WSP enhancements in SF2 and R3

Task 4. 90% and 100% Restoration Design Plans, Specifications and Estimates

4.1 Tidal Restoration PSE in Ponds R1/R2. H. T. Harvey's restoration and wildlife ecologists will collaborate with HDR and ESA engineers to provide ecological design guidance consistent with the intent of the Restoration BOD, including design coordination meetings, and preparation of written comments and plan markups on all components of the earthwork design that would affect the ecological outcomes. This will include

assistance with topsoil preparation for revegetation and contaminant quality assurance specifications for import of soil suitable to meet the Refuge's Master QAPP.

H. T. Harvey's landscape architects will develop 90% PSE for seeding of the high tide refugia berms, decommissioned trail segment in R1, and existing internal R1/R2 berm. The 90% PSE will be based on design coordination and comments received from HDR, JPA and potentially the BRRIT on the 60% PSE described in task 3.1. Following a second round of coordination and comment, H. T. Harvey will make minor revisions to prepare the 100% PSE.

4.2 Pond Enhancement in Ponds SF2 and R3. H. T. Harvey's landscape architects and wildlife ecologists will develop 90% PSE for grading and seeding of Pond SF2 and R3. This work will be based on design coordination and comments received from HDR, JPA, and potentially the BRRIT on the 60% PSE described in task 3.2. Following a second round of coordination and comment, H. T. Harvey will make minor revisions to prepare the 100% PSE.

Task 4 Deliverables:

- Written comments and plan mark ups on HDR's 90% and 100% tidal restoration earthwork PSE
- 90% and 100% landscape PSE for seeding R1/R2 refugia berms, decommissioned trail, and internal R1/R2 berm, and SF2 levee
- 90% and 100% PSE for WSP enhancements in SF2 and R3

Task 5. BRRIT and Stakeholder Coordination During Permit Processing

H. T. Harvey's ecologists and permitting specialists will continue to lead SAFER Bay's BRRIT regulatory permitting process and the associated stakeholder coordination. This task includes assistance, as needed, up to the limit of the Task 5 budget. Tasks are anticipated to include the following:

- Weekly management coordination meetings during the 24 month period of performance, with HDR, JPA, Cities of Menlo Park and East Palo Alto and their respective design consultants.
- Periodic stakeholder meetings and communications with representatives of the BRRIT, Refuge, SBSPRP, Caltrans, Metropolitan Transportation Commission, and PG&E. Up to 8 stakeholder meetings, including preparation of slide decks and meeting notes on issues relevant to regulatory permitting and associated biological resources.
- Serving as the central coordinator for up to 6 meetings between the JPA/member Cities with the Bay Conservation and Development Commission's (BCDC) Design Review Board (DRB) and Engineering Criteria Review Board (ECRB). This includes spearheading preparation of slide decks and associated coordination with the design teams and attendance of these in-person meetings at BCDC's San Francisco office.
- Serving as the central coordinator for 1 meeting between the JPA/member Cities with BCDC's commission in an in-person public meeting.

- Iterative review and comment on SAFER Bay’s construction documents prepared by the City of Menlo Park’s design team and the City of East Palo Alto’s design team. This includes design collaboration with these teams to help ensure that the designs are consistent with the EIR and Restoration BOD.
- Up to 3 project-wide iterative quantitative impact analyses based on the flood protection construction documents provided in AutoCAD to H. T. Harvey by the three flood control designers (Sherwood Engineers, Schaaf & Wheeler, HDR).

Task 5 Deliverables:

- Slide decks and meeting notes for up to 8 stakeholder meetings
- Slide decks and meeting notes for up to 6 meetings between the JPA/member Cities with the Bay Conservation and Development Commission’s (BCDC) Design Review Board (DRB) and Engineering Criteria Review Board (ECRB)
- Slide deck and meeting notes for up to 1 meeting between the JPA/member Cities with BCDC’s commission in an in-person public meeting
- Written comments and plan mark ups on SAFER Bay’s construction documents prepared by the City of Menlo Park’s design team and the City of East Palo Alto’s design team
- Up to 3 project-wide iterative quantitative impact analyses with a final analysis incorporated into the BRRIT permit applications.

General Scope Assumptions

- Remaining budget in Task Order 4 will remain available for H. T. Harvey’s permitting work, in addition to the budget to be approved for Task Order 5.
- Task Order 5 duration is up to 24 months from Notice to Proceed.
- All meetings will be remote-video meetings, except for BCDC’s DRB, ECRB, and Commission meetings.
- HDR will provide a new, complete topographic survey for Pond SF2 (cells U2 and U3) that is sufficient for H. T. Harvey’s WSP grading design.
- H. T. Harvey construction documents will be integrated into up to two separate, construction bid packages prepared by HDR.
- H. T. Harvey will provide all plans and specifications electronically.
- Title blocks and topographic base maps will be provided by HDR in AutoCAD format. Base maps will include contours (1-ft interval) and all property lines, rights-of-way, utilities, existing trees, and any other relevant site features. We assume these AutoCAD files will use a real-world coordinate system, such as State Plane or UTM. If not, additional budget may be required as additional time will be required to rectify any un-projected files into a real-world coordinate system. Also note that the rectification process could result in some inaccuracy due to the conversion of a local coordinate system into a real-world spatial projection.

- The following construction documents will be prepared by HDR:
 - All front-end plan sheets and specifications (including invitation to bid, instructions to bidders, general conditions, supplementary conditions, contract clauses, construction contract, etc.).
 - Site access
 - Demolition, clearing and grubbing
 - Erosion control/natural resource protection
 - Earthwork and topsoil preparation
- Bulk earthwork (cut/fill) calculations and cost estimation will be performed by HDR.
- Review comments on the construction documents from HDR and the JPA will be provided during a design review meeting or as 1 set of consolidated comments with all conflicting comments resolved.
- Landscape PSE is limited to WSP habitat enhancements in SF2 and R3 and seeding for high tide refuge islands and berms in R1/R2, consistent with the Restoration BOD.
- Pond R1/R2 T-zone is excluded from H. T. Harvey's landscape PSE; this will be designed by Menlo Park's Schaaf & Wheeler team.

Fee Estimate

H. T. Harvey's fee estimate is presented below. We will invoice charges to HDR monthly not to exceed the task budgets.

TASK	FEE ESTIMATE
1. Project and Grant Management	NA-Task for JPA Management
2. Studies	\$199,902
3. 60% Restoration Design PSE	\$400,504
4. 90% and 100% Restoration Design PSE	\$200,950
5. BRRIT and Stakeholder Coordination During Permit Processing	\$545,678
Not-to-Exceed Total	\$1,347,034

SAFER Bay Project – Task Order 5

ESA Scope of Services

8/3/26

Task x.1: Pond R1 & R2 Restoration Design Support

HDR will lead the design of the Pond R1 and R2 tidal marsh restoration, in the form of drawings, specifications, cost estimates, and other bid and construction support documents. HDR will develop 60%, 90%, and 100% design packages informed by the 2026 habitat restoration basis of design report (H. T. Harvey and Associates, ESA, and HDR, 2026). ESA will support this design effort with the following subtasks.

Subtask x.1.1: Hydraulic Modeling

ESA will conduct additional hydraulic modeling of the proposed Pond R1 and Pond R2 restoration to inform grading of external and internal tidal channels and breaches.

The external channels and breaches will connect the restoration site to subtidal portions of the bay and determine the restoration site's tidal connectivity. Internal channels, along with ditch blocks and internal breaches, affect the distribution of tidal flow within the project site as well as the hydrologic conditions around proposed seabird nesting islands.

This modeling will characterize tidal exchange, water levels, and flow velocities between the restoration site and the bay and within the restoration site. The modeling will be used to evaluate the performance of design options for these channels, the potential for geomorphic changes to these channels, and the potential changes in hydraulic performance resulting from geomorphic changes.

Deliverable

- Draft and final technical memorandum, describing hydraulic modeling and geomorphic assessments of restoration design options.

Subtask x.1.2: Design Support

ESA will provide technical support to HDR for HDR's development of revised grading plans and specifications of the Pond R1 and R2 restoration, up to the allotted budget for this subtask.

Task x.2: Outboard Pond SF2 Hydrologic Analysis

The Project's proposed levee alignment will bisect the eastern portion of Pond SF2, which is currently managed to create shallow-water wetland habitat for shorebirds. The Project will place Cell U2 on the inboard side of the levee and bisect Cell U4, which conveys water from Cells U1 and U2 to the bay. Cell U1 and the eastern portion of Cell U4 will remain on the outboard side of the levee and will presumably be managed for similar shallow-water wetland habitat as the current configuration. This will reduce the size of the shallow-water habitat area and alter the flow rates and pathways through the managed wetlands that will remain outboard of the levee.

In coordination with the USFWS, ESA will review and update the hydrologic design criteria for managed shallow-water wetland outboard of the Project levee, Cell U1 and the eastern portion of Cell U4. Based on these design criteria, ESA will analyze the water balance for this wetland and determine the capacity of the existing hydraulic structures (culverts, tide gates, weirs, etc.) to be adjusted to meet the target hydrologic conditions. This assessment will also consider flood hazard, sea level rise, and possible adaptive management pathways for outer Pond SF2.

Design of replacement and/or substantial modifications to the existing hydraulic structures will be conducted by HDR.

Deliverable

- Draft and final technical memorandum, describing hydrologic assessment of Pond SF2 outboard shallow-water managed wetland

Task x.3: Permitting Technical Support

ESA will support ongoing permitting for the Project by providing input and guidance related to coastal hydrology, stormwater, habitat geomorphology, and sea level rise adaptation aspects of permit applications, up to the allotted budget for this task.

Subtask x.3.1: Restoration Monitoring and Management Plan

ESA will contribute sections to the monitoring and management plan for the Pond R1 and Pond R2 restoration site. The plan's sections provided by ESA will address monitoring of the site's hydrology and geomorphology over the decade following project construction, to characterize the physical processes that support the habitat restoration (tidal marsh) and creation (bird nesting islands).

Hydrologic aspects of the monitoring may include quantities such as water levels and flow velocity; geomorphic aspects may include bathymetry, sediment deposition, and erosion. In addition, ESA will identify potential triggers and measures for adaptive management to modify hydrologic and geomorphic conditions that could impair habitat function.

Deliverable

- Draft and final sections of monitoring and management plan related to hydrology and geomorphology

Task x.4: Stormwater Technical Support

ESA will support the HDR in its coordination with the US Fish and Wildlife Service and San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to avoid impacts to these landowners' stormwater management systems and to meet interior drainage requirements up to the allotted budget for this task.

Task x.5: Project Meetings and Coordination

ESA will attend project meetings with the JPA, with the consultant team, and with Project partners. In addition, ESA will provide monthly progress reports, invoicing, and scheduling updates.

Fee Estimate

The fee estimate for ESA to complete the scope of services described above is provided in Table 1.

Table 1. ESA Fee Estimate – SAFER Bay Task Order 5

Task #	Task Name	Fee
x.1	Pond R1 & R2 Restoration Design Support	
x.1.1	Hydraulic modeling	\$83,164
x.1.2	Design support	\$23,760
x.2	Pond SF2 Management	\$48,132
x.3	Permitting Technical Support	\$23,908
x.3.1	Monitoring and management plan	\$30,840
x.4	Stormwater Technical Support	\$18,368
x.5	Project meetings and coordination	\$27,136
Total		\$255,308



GREGG DRILLING LLC

Environmental, Geotechnical, and Marine Site Investigation Services
2100 Goodyear Road, Benicia, CA 94510 Phone: (925) 313-5800

Client: HDR
Contact: Victor Corsariol
Address: 505 14th St, Suite 1100
Oakland, CA 94612
Project: SAFER Bay Pond SF 2 Amphibious Work

Date: June 23, 2026
E-mail: victor.corsariol@hdrinc.com
Phone: 916-817-4721
Cell:

Proposal #: 25-0637 Rev 2

Scope 1: Five 80ft soil borings and one 100 ft soil boring in water depths ranging from 0 to 3 feet

- Utilizing a 7822DT Geoprobe or CME 45 mounted on an Amphibious rig
- Assumes Amphib unit can access salt ponds without building any access
- Working hours Monday - Friday, 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM
- Water Depths 0 to 3 feet
- Borings will be backfill after completion per county regulations
- All investigation derived waste will be drummed and properly disposed of by Gregg Drilling, assuming non-hazardous waste
- Gregg will setup on location from the use of a handheld GPS - Coordinates provided by Client

Item	Description of Services	Unit Cost	Units	Qty.	Total
1	Mobilization/Demobilization (includes site orientation)	\$ 56,650.00	Each	1	\$ 56,650.00
2	Daily Travel	\$ 965.00	Day	8	\$ 7,720.00
3	Amphibious Drilling Services - Day Rate (3-Man Crew)	\$ 16,995.00	Day	8	\$ 135,960.00
4	Mod Cal Liners and Caps	\$ 16.50	Each	100	\$ 1,650.00
5	Shelby Tubes	\$ 67.00	Each	18	\$ 1,206.00
6	55 gallon DOT Drums	\$ 97.85	Each	26	\$ 2,544.10
7	55 Gallon Drums - Disposal	\$ 510.00	Each	26	\$ 13,260.00
8	Portable Toilet Rental	\$ 77.25	Day	8	\$ 618.00
9	6% Fuel Surcharge	\$ 8,620.80	Lump Sum	1	\$ 8,620.80
We Estimate 8		Day(s) On-site	Total: \$ 228,228.90		

Scope 2: Five CPT borings to 80 feet and Two CPT borings to 100ft with three borings collecting seismic data

- Utilizing a 7822DT Geoprobe or CME 45 mounted on an Amphibious rig
- Assumes Amphib unit can access salt ponds without building any access
- Working hours Monday - Friday, 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM
- Water Depths 0 to 3 feet
- Three (3) days will be used at start of project to complete construction of Amphibious rig, two (2) days will be used at end of project to complete dis-assembly of Amphibious rig
- Gregg will provide skiff for transportation to and from amphibious rig - if needed
- Borings will be backfill after completion per county regulations
- All investigation derived waste will be drummed and properly disposed of by Gregg Drilling, assuming non-hazardous
- Gregg will setup on location from the use of a handheld GPS - Coordinates provided by Client
- CPT borings will be performed in conjunction with soil borings

Item	Description of Services	Unit Cost	Units	Qty.	Total
1	Mobilization/Demobilization (includes site orientation)	\$ 56,650.00	Each	0	\$ -
2	CPT Equipment Mobilization	\$ 7,500.00	Each	1	\$ 7,500.00
3	Daily Travel	\$ 965.00	Day	5	\$ 4,825.00
4	Amphibious CPT Services - Day Rate (3-Man Crew)	\$ 16,995.00	Day	5	\$ 84,975.00
5	Seismic Data Collection	\$ 800.00	Each	3	\$ 2,400.00
6	55 gallon DOT Drums	\$ 97.85	Each	0	\$ -
7	55 Gallon Drums - Disposal	\$ 510.00	Each	0	\$ -
8	Portable Toilet Rental	\$ 77.25	Day	5	\$ 386.25
9	6% Fuel Surcharge	\$ 5,388.00	Lump Sum	1	\$ 5,388.00
We Estimate 5		Day(s) On-site	Total: \$ 105,474.25		

Total Time on site: 18 days, 13 days drilling and CPT, 3 days to build rig and 2 days to demobilize rig

The Schedule shall be as follows, unless otherwise mutually agreed in writing after execution of this Proposal:



Assumptions:

- All borings will be hand cleared to a depth of 5 feet unless waiver is signed by client
- Level D personal protective equipment is sufficient to protect onsite staff
- No training or certifications are required except: HAZWOPER and fit-for-duty
- Site can be accessed by required equipment
- Site is secure; no security is required
- Gregg will be responsible for manifesting and disposal of investigation-derived waste
- Investigation-derived waste storage area can be reached by foot from work area(s)
- Daily rate includes up to nine hours per day on site Monday thru Friday
- No third party billing
- Is a Prevailing Wage Project
- Client will obtain all Boring/Well permits

1 Scope Terms:

Gregg Drilling shall perform the services expressly described in this Proposal based on the information provided by the Client prior to the time of submittal of this Proposal. The Client shall provide all specifications, drawings, and requirements related to the scope of work, including a redacted copy of the prime contract, if applicable. The Client shall be responsible for providing all clarifications and information necessary for Gregg Drilling to perform its work and shall collaborate on all aspects of the services related to this Proposal. The presence of hazardous materials directly or indirectly, known or unknown, related to the Project is expressly excluded from this Proposal, and Gregg Drilling shall not be liable for such hazardous materials. Client represents that it has disclosed to Gregg Drilling the existence of all hazardous materials located at or near the Project site. The standard of care for work performed or furnished by Gregg Drilling under this Proposal will be the care and skill ordinarily used by members of Gregg Drilling's profession practicing under the same or similar circumstances at the same time and in the same locality. Gregg Drilling makes no other warranties, expressed or implied, under this Proposal or otherwise, in conjunction with the services.

2 Assumptions Terms:

The Client shall obtain and maintain all licenses and permits required by applicable law at the Client's sole expense, unless explicitly agreed otherwise in this Proposal. Neither Gregg Drilling nor its employees are licensed surveyors, geologists, or engineers, and will not provide such services, unless expressly stated otherwise in this Proposal. The Client shall furnish reasonable access to all parts of the project site to allow Gregg Drilling to perform its work.

3 Engagement

A signed Copy of this proposal **OR** an executed client-generated written agreement must be in place before the Project will be scheduled. Should a client-generated agreement be required, this Proposal, including its terms and conditions without modification, must be fully incorporated into such agreement. In addition, such agreement must be provided to Gregg Drilling reasonably in advance (not less than 1 week) of the anticipated Project commencement date to allow adequate time for review, negotiation, and acceptance. Gregg Drilling will not allocate resources or commence any Project tasks without first having a signed Proposal, executed agreement, and/or task-specific work order in place.

4 Limitation of Liability:

Gregg Drilling's total liability to the Client for any injuries, claims, losses, expenses, or damages, arising out of or in any way related to the services or this Proposal from any cause or causes shall not exceed the amount paid by the Client to Gregg Drilling for the services. Gregg Drilling shall not be liable to the Client for indirect, incidental, punitive, special, or consequential damages.

5 Billing, Cancellation, and Termination:

The preceding prices and quantities represent Gregg Drilling's best estimate for the tasks as we understand them; however, they do not represent guaranteed maximum or not-to-exceed prices. The Client will be billed at the unit rates presented in this proposal multiplied by the actual number of units required to complete the work. A \$2,000.00 cancellation fee may be charged if a project is cancelled or delayed within 2 business days of the scheduled start date. The above prices are valid for 60 days of date of this Proposal; after 60 days, all prices are subject to review/modification. Gregg Drilling may terminate this Proposal at any time if Client fails to fulfil its obligations.

6 Utilities:

Unless expressly provided otherwise in this proposal, the Client accepts the responsibility for locating and marking all drilling locations and for contacting Underground Service Alert/DIG ALERT, and opening a DIG ALERT TICKET a minimum of 3 and a maximum of 14 days prior to drilling. This number shall be submitted to Gregg Drilling to enable Gregg Drilling to obtain a dig ticket a minimum of 72 hours prior to work commencement. Gregg Drilling shall not be held liable for any damage to underground utilities or any related losses.

7 Payment Terms:

Unless otherwise provided in this Proposal, Client will be invoiced following substantial completion of the work, or by progressive billing (monthly) on projects of significant duration. All bills are due and payable in full within 30 days from the date of the invoice. Invoices not paid within 30 days will be subject to a 1.5% per month finance charge. For clients with existing Master Service Agreements (MSA), payment terms will be in accordance with the MSA.

8 Acceptance of quote:

By signing this Proposal, the Client acknowledges it has read, understood, and agreed to this Proposal's terms and conditions and that this Proposal represents the complete and exclusive understanding between the Client and Gregg Drilling. This Proposal supersedes all prior discussions and agreements, oral or written, related to the subject matter of this Proposal.

**Quote prepared by
Gregg Drilling, LLC**

Name Ian Wood

Title Senior Project Manager

Date 6/23/26

Quote accepted by

Signature: _____

Name/Title: _____

Company _____

Date _____



SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK
JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY
SFCJPA.ORG

RESOLUTION NUMBER 26-10-22-C

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING THE INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE TASK ORDER 5 OF THE MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH HDR ENGINEERING, INC. FOR THE SAFER BAY PROJECT

WHEREAS, the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority (“SFCJPA”) entered into a Master Service Agreement with HDR Engineering, Inc. for the Strategy to Advance Flood Protection, Ecosystems and Recreation along the Bay (SAFER Bay) Project Evaluation, Design and Environmental Services on October 24, 2013, through which HDR Engineering, Inc. agreed to perform services in accordance with Task Orders issued by the Authority; and

WHEREAS, Additional funding of \$3,85,000 is available via SFBRA grant SFB0067-RA035 to perform work; and,

WHEREAS, the SFCJPA has reviewed and negotiated the HDR Team cost proposal and scope of work for Task Order 5 and the scope of work is acceptable and will allow HDR Engineering, Inc., to perform technical work under SFBRA grant SFB0067-RA035; and,

WHEREAS, the SFCJPA FY26/27 budget contains grant management costs as revenue to account for staff time spent on the grant.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority that the Board of Directors hereby authorizes the Interim Executive Director to negotiate and execute Task Order 5 of the Master Services Agreement with HDR Engineering, Inc., in a total not to exceed amount of \$3,236,931.

Approved and adopted on Thursday, October 22, 2026, the undersigned hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly adopted by the Board of Directors of the San Francisquito Creek Joint Powers Authority.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

ATTEST:

APPROVED:



SAN FRANCISQUITO CREEK
JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY
SFCJPA.ORG

ATTEST:

Chairperson Date:

Clerk of the Board as
attester Date:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Legal Counsel

Date: